IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (LODG) NO. 475 OF 2006

1. Shri Haresh J. Barot & 2 ors.] .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The Slum Rehabilitation Authority and 4 ors.] .. Respondents

Mr. H.S. Anand for the petitioners.

Mr. G.D. Uttangale for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Milind More, AGP, for the respondent No.2.

Mr. A.R. Mishra for the respondents No.3 and 4.

Ms. T. Puranik for the respondent No.5.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 09TH MARCH, 2006

P.C. :

. The petitioner has been held to be eligible for residential accommodation in a SRA Scheme. By the present Petition, petitioner seeks to challenge certain acts. The challenge, if considered, would be a challenge to the SRA Scheme itself. The sum and substance of the challenge is that the developer, carrying on the SRA Scheme, has no right. Respondent

No.4, according to the petitioner, is the owner. If that be the case, it is for respondent No.4 to challenge the SRA Scheme if he is entitled to in law. The petitioner, in such matters, is merely a busy body who is trying to obstruct the SRA Scheme. This cannot be countenanced at the hands of the petitioner who is himself a beneficiary.

2. In the light of the above, Petition stands dismissed. Petitioner to pay costs of Rs.5,000/- to the respondent No.1.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-
[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]